arXiv:1611.00535v1 [math.RT] 2 Nov 2016

RELATIVE DERIVED DIMENSIONS FOR COTILTING MODULES

MICHIO YOSHIWAKI

Abstract. For a Noetherian ring R and a cotilting R-module T of injective dimension at least 1, we prove that the derived dimension of R with respect to the category $\mathcal{X}_T$ is precisely the injective dimension of T by applying Auslander-Buchweitz theory and Ghost Lemma. In particular, when R is a commutative Noetherian local ring with a canonical module ω_R and $\dim R \geq 1$, the derived dimension of R with respect to the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules is precisely $\dim R$.

1. Introduction

The notion of dimension of a triangulated category was introduced by Rouquier [7] based on work of Bondal and Van den Bergh [4] on Brown representability. A relative version of this notion was introduced in [3], which counts how many extensions are needed to build the triangulated category out of a given subcategory. The aim of this paper is to give an explicit value of the relative dimension when the subcategory is associated with a cotilting modules.

In this paper, we denote by R a Noetherian ring. All R-modules are finitely generated right R-modules. We denote by $\operatorname{mod} R$ the abelian category of R-modules and by $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R)$ the derived category of $\operatorname{mod} R$.

Then our main result is the following, which completes a main result Theorem 5.3 in [1].

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and T a cotilting R-module with* $\operatorname{inj.dim} T \geq 1$. *Then we have an equality*

$$\mathcal{X}_T\text{–}\operatorname{tri.dim} \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R) = \operatorname{inj.dim} T.$$

The inequality $\leq$ was shown in [1, Theorem 5.3]. In this paper, we will prove the converse inequality by applying Auslander-Buchweitz theory and Ghost Lemma.

We apply Theorem 1.1 to the following settings. For a commutative Noetherian local ring R with a canonical module ω_R, we denote by $\mathsf{CM}R$ the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules. We call an R-algebra Λ an *R-order* if $\Lambda \in \mathsf{CM}R$. We denote by $\mathsf{CM}\Lambda$ the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay Λ-modules (i.e. Λ-modules X satisfying $X \in \mathsf{CM}R$). As a special case of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following results, which completes the inequalities (1.2.1) and (4.2.1) in [1].

Date: November 3, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E10, 16E35, 16G50, 18E30.

Key words and phrases. Dimension of triangulated category; Derived category; Cotilting module; Cohen-Macaulay module.

The author was partially supported by JST (Japan Science and Technology Agency) CREST Mathematics Grant (15656429).

Corollary 1.2. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian local ring with a canonical module ω_R and $\dim R \geq 1$. Then*

(1) *We have an equality*

$$(\mathsf{CM}R)\text{–tri.dim } \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R) = \dim R.$$

(2) *More generally, for an R-order Λ, we have an equality*

$$(\mathsf{CM}\Lambda)\text{–tri.dim } \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} \Lambda) = \dim R.$$

Proof. Since ω_R (respectively, $\omega_\Lambda := \operatorname{Hom}_R(\Lambda, \omega_R)$) is a cotilting module with injective dimension $\dim R$, the assertion follows from Theorem 1.1. □

Remark 1.3. [1, Remark 5.4] If $\operatorname{inj.dim} \omega_\Lambda = \dim R = 0$, then the equality in Corollary 1.2 (2) does not necessarily hold in general. Namely, let Λ be a finite dimensional non-semisimple self-injective algebra over a field. Then the right Λ-module Λ is a cotilting module with $\operatorname{inj.dim} \Lambda = 0$ and $\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \operatorname{mod} \Lambda$. However, $\langle \operatorname{mod} \Lambda \rangle$ is different from $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} \Lambda)$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce several concepts.

Definition 2.1. (Aihara-Araya-Iyama-Takahashi-Y [1])

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category with shift [1] and $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ full subcategories of $\mathcal{T}$.

(1) The full subcategory $\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y} := \{M \in \mathcal{T} \mid \exists \text{ a triangle} : X \to M \to Y \to X[1] \text{ with } X \in \mathcal{X}, Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}.$$

Note that $(\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}) * \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} * (\mathcal{Y} * \mathcal{Z})$ holds by the octahedral axiom.

(2) Set $\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle := \operatorname{add}\{X[i] \mid X \in \mathcal{X}, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. And, for any positive integer n,

$$\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle_n := \operatorname{add}(\underbrace{\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle * \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle * \cdots * \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle}_{n}).$$

Clearly, $\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle_n$ is closed under shifts.

(3) The *dimension* of $\mathcal{T}$ with respect to a subcategory $\mathcal{X}$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{X}\text{–tri.dim } \mathcal{T} := \inf\{n \geq 0 \mid \mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle_{n+1}\}.$$

When $\mathcal{X} = \operatorname{add} M$ for some object $M \in \mathcal{T}$, one can recover the dimension of triangulated category in the sense of Rouquier [7].

The relative (derived) dimensions realize the several invariants for rings. For instance, we have the following fact, which was proved by Krause and Kussin.

Example 2.2. (Krause-Kussin [5, Lemma 2.4 and 2.5])

$$(\operatorname{proj} R)\text{–tri.dim } \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R) = \operatorname{gl.dim} R,$$

where $\operatorname{proj} R$ is the subcategory of $\operatorname{mod} R$ consisting of projective modules and $\operatorname{gl.dim} R$ is the global dimension of R. This is a special case of our main result.

For an R-module T, we define the full subcategory ${}^{\perp}T$ of $\operatorname{mod} R$ as follows:

$${}^{\perp}T := \{X \in \operatorname{mod} R \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(X, T) = 0 \text{ for any } i > 0\}.$$

Then we will introduce the concept of a cotilting module.

Definition 2.3. An R-module T is called *cotilting* if it satisfies the following three conditions:

(1) The injective dimension $\operatorname{inj.dim} T$ of T is finite.
(2) $T \in {}^{\perp}T$.
(3) For any $X \in {}^{\perp}T$, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to X \to T' \to X' \to 0$$

with $T' \in \operatorname{add} T,\ X' \in {}^{\perp}T$.

For a cotilting module T, we will write $\mathcal{X}_T$ instead of ${}^{\perp}T$. Moreover, we set

$$\mathcal{Y}_T := (\mathcal{X}_T)^{\perp} = \{Y \in \operatorname{mod} R \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(X, Y) = 0 \text{ for any } i > 0 \text{ and any } X \in \mathcal{X}_T\}.$$

Then by Auslander-Buchweitz approximation theory [2], we have the following fact.

Proposition 2.4. *Let T be a cotilting R-module with injective dimension d and $M \in \operatorname{mod} R$. Then*

(1) *there exists an exact sequence $0 \to Y \to X \to M \to 0$ with $X \in \mathcal{X}_T$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_T$.*
(2) *M belongs to $\mathcal{Y}_T$ if and only if there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \to T_d \to \cdots \to T_1 \to T_0 \to M \to 0$$

with $T_i \in \operatorname{add} T$.

A typical example of a cotilting module is the following.

Example 2.5. (1) The canonical module over a commutative Noetherian local ring R is a cotilting R-module.
(2) For a finite dimensional algebra R over a field k and a tilting R^{op}-module T in the sense of [6], the k-dual of T is a cotilting R-module.

3. Proof of our result

We need the following to prove Theorem 1.1, which is called the *Ghost Lemma*.

Proposition 3.1. [7, Lemma 4.11] *Let $H_1, H_2, \cdots, H_{n+1}$ be cohomological functors on a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ and $f_i : H_i \to H_{i+1}$ morphisms between them. Let $\mathcal{X}_i$ be subcategories of $\mathcal{T}$ such that f_i vanishes on $\mathcal{X}_i$ and $\mathcal{X}_i = \langle \mathcal{X}_i \rangle$. Then the composite $f_n \circ \cdots \circ f_1$ vanishes on* $\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{X}_1 * \cdots * \mathcal{X}_n)$.

Now we will prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In the rest, we show the converse inequality.

Set inj.dim $T =: d \geq 1$. Then we have $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R)(M, T[d]) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^d_R(M, T) \neq 0$ for some $M \in \operatorname{mod} R$. We will identify $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R)(-, -[i])$ with $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(-, -)$ for any integer i under the natural isomorphism. By Proposition 2.4, we have an exact sequence

$$[\xi_M : 0 \to T_d \xrightarrow{\phi_d} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_2} T_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} T_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} M \to 0] \in \operatorname{Ext}^d_R(M, T),$$

where $T_0 \in \mathcal{X}_T$ and $T_i \in \operatorname{add} T = \mathcal{X}_T \cap \mathcal{Y}_T$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, d$. Note that $\xi_M \neq 0$ since $\operatorname{Ext}^d_R(M, T) \neq 0$. Put $K_i := \operatorname{Im} \phi_i$ for each $i = 0, \cdots, d$. Then for any $i = 1, \cdots, d$, $K_i \in \mathcal{Y}_T$ and we have a short exact sequence

$$\xi_i : 0 \to K_i \to T_{i-1} \to K_{i-1} \to 0.$$

Regarding ξ_M (respectively, ξ_i) as a morphism from $K_0 = M$ to $K_d[d] = T_d[d]$ (respectively, from $K_{i-1}[i-1]$ to $K_i[i]$) in $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R)$, we have an equality

$$\xi_M = \xi_d \circ \cdots \circ \xi_1.$$

For any $i = 1, \cdots d$, the morphism ξ_i induces a morphism

$$f_i : \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R)(\text{-}, K_{i-1}[i-1]) \to \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R)(\text{-}, K_i[i]).$$

Clearly $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R)(\mathcal{X}_T, K_i[j]) = 0$ holds if (i, j) belongs to $\{0, \cdots, d-1\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ or $\{1, \cdots, d\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Therefore $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R)(\mathcal{X}_T, \xi_i[j]) = 0$ holds for any $i = 1, \cdots, d$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Namely, f_i vanishes on $\langle \mathcal{X}_T \rangle$. By Proposition 3.1, the composite $f_d \circ \cdots \circ f_1$ vanishes on $\langle \mathcal{X}_T \rangle_d$. But the composite $f_d \circ \cdots \circ f_1$ sends the identity morphism of $K_0 = M$ to $\xi_M = \xi_d \circ \cdots \circ \xi_1 \neq 0$ and hence $M \notin \langle \mathcal{X}_T \rangle_d$. Namely, we have the inequality

$$\mathcal{X}_T\text{–tri.dim } \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{mod} R) \geq \text{inj.dim } T,$$

as desired. □

References

[1] T. Aihara, T. Araya, O. Iyama, R. Takahashi and M. Yoshiwaki, *Dimensions of triangulated categories with respect to subcategories*, J. Algebra **399** (2014), 205–219.

[2] M. Auslander and R.-O. Buchweitz, *The homological theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay approximations*, (French summary) Colloque en l'honneur de Pierre Samuel (Orsay, 1987). Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) No. 38 (1989), 5–37.

[3] L.L. Avramov, R.-O. Buchweitz, S.B. Iyengar and C. Miller, *Homology of perfect complexes*, Adv. Math. **223** (2010), no. 5, 1731–1781 and corrigendum, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 6, 3576–3578.

[4] A. Bondal and M. Van den Bergh, *Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry*, Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), no. 1, 1–36, 258.

[5] H. Krause and D. Kussin, *Rouquier's theorem on representation dimension*, Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics, 95-103, Contemp. Math., **406**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[6] Y. Miyashita, *Tilting modules of finite projective dimension*, Math. Z. **193** (1986), no. 1, 113–146.

[7] R. Rouquier, *Dimensions of triangulated categories*, J. K-theory **1** (2008), no. 2, 193–256 and errata, 257–258.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Shizuoka University
836 Ohya, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka, 422-8529, JAPAN
Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute,
3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka, 558-8585, JAPAN
E-mail address: yoshiwaki.michio@shizuoka.ac.jp